REMARKS

The Office Action dated February 20, 2004 has been reviewed and carefully considered. Claim 2 has now been redrafted into independent form. Claims 1-3 remain pending and are independent. Claims 1 and 3 have been amended. Reconsideration of the above-identified application, as amended and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,108,039 to Linzer et al. ("Linzer").

Claim 2, which has now been redrafted into independent form, recites, "said step of generating a plurality of test vectors from the selected vector (d¹) includes the step of adding -1, 0, or +1 to each component of the selected vector (d¹)." This inventive refinement to an approach in the prior art is discussed in the specification (e.g., page 5, lines 9-15).

The last sentence of item 3 of the Office Action offers no citation to Linzer for disclosure of present inventive approach of claim 2, but seems to suggest that Linzer adds zero to each component. For example, if one were to place a component value on one input to an adder and were to hard-wire the other input as zero-valued, one conceivably could imagine adding zero to each component. There does not appear, however, to be any reason for creating such an embodiment. Nor does Linzer disclose or suggest such an embodiment. Accordingly, Linzer fails to anticipate claim 2 for at least these reasons. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Amendment Serial No. 09/455,662

Claim 1 as amended recites:

A recursive motion vector estimation method, comprising the steps of:

a) for a current block of a picture divided into a plurality of blocks, and based on motion information generated for the previously-processed block if any and if immediately to the left of said current block, the blocks being processed by said method in a predetermined order, generating (E) a plurality of candidate vectors from stored vectors (PV);

- b) selecting (E) one of these candidate vectors to generate a selected vector (d1);
- c) generating (REF) a plurality of test vectors from the selected vector (d1);
- d) selecting (REF) one of the test vectors to generate an output vector (d2);
- e) storing (MEM) the output vector (d2); and
- f) re-executing steps a) through f) for a next-to-be-processed block, if any, as said current block.

Support for the amendment of claim 1 is found in the specification (e.g., page 4, lines 10-11; page 6, lines 8-12; page 9, lines 8-10).

Linzer fails to disclose or suggest at least steps a) and f), and is believed to be patentable over Linzer for at least this reason.

Claim 3 is an apparatus claim based on method claim 1, and is likewise deemed to be patentable.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all the present claims are patentable in view of the cited references. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Russell Gross Registration No. 40,007

Steve Cha

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 44,069

Mail all correspondence to:

Russell Gross, Registration No. 40,007 US PHILIPS CORPORATION P.O. Box 3001

Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001

Phone: (914) 333-9608 (914) 332-0615 Fax:

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to MAIL STOP NON-FEE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA VA. 22313 on - 5/26/09

Steve Cha, Reg. No. (Name of Registered Rep.)